



"I would, I feel, be untrue to the standards of the high office to which you have chosen me if I were to lend the influence of the United States to the proposition that a nation which invaded another should be permitted to exact conditions for withdrawal."

— PRESIDENT DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

January 24, 1957, Dept. of State Publication No. 6461.

# Common Sense®

Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 550, December 1, 1969

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

!   
 "The truth,   
 the whole truth,   
 and nothing   
 but the truth   
 ... without fear   
 or favor"

Conde McGinley   
 1890 • FOUNDER • 1969

## ZIONISTS BEMUSE CHRISTIANS WITH THEIR SPIRITUAL SLOPS — to railroad USA into world war third time!

By BENJAMIN H. FREEDMAN

Founder 1950 Christian Anti-Defamation League

Christians in this country are not in a position to judge their predicament unless they understand that the Zionist hierarchy in this country either owns or by devious other means exercises control of all media for mass information in this country which can be included in that category. Furthermore, the international Zionist hierarchy in London either owns or by devious other means controls the media for mass information elsewhere today throughout the world. Together they form the keystone in their Arch of Triumph through which they have been advancing and today are well on their way to control the wealth of the world and to domination of the populations of the world at rate they are going.

It is the understanding by those in the best position to know that the Zionist hierarchy in this country today exercises control over all policy making in the television and radio, in the newspaper and magazine, in the motion picture and theatre, and in the hard cover book and paper back publishing industries in this country. To cite here just one illustration of what has been silently going on in this country, a well known national figure today, and a lifelong Zionist zealot, was financed by the Zionist hierarchy in this country to the extent of approximately \$250,000,000.00 with which he was able to acquire leading television and radio companies completely dominating many important areas of this country, and the leading newspapers in many of the largest cities. That is only one case history of what has been silently going on to a greater or lesser extent in this country and with the end not in sight yet.

It is well to keep in mind also that it was in 1896 that Theodor Herzl, "the father of Zionism," a paranoid journalist and playwright in Vienna, and practically unknown prior to 1896, conceived and gave birth to his brainchild now known to the world as the "Zionist movement." The "Zionist movement" was Theodor Herzl and Theodor Herzl was the "Zionist movement." In his "Diaries of Theodor Herzl," published in an English language translation from the German, by Grosset & Dunlap of New York, their Library Number 0128, and the Library of Congress Number 56-8112, Theodor Herzl each day inscribed the progress of his psychopathic fantasy from the day of its birth, a few days before Pentecost on June 2, 1895 to a few days before his death in 1904. In his "Diaries of Theodor Herzl," Theodor Herzl recorded in great detail those nine years of his life as midwife for the birth into this world of his hallucina-

tion of a 20th century sovereign theocracy. Theodor Herzl's brainstorm became the embryo of the dynamic illegal and immoral complex of political and economic psychopathic objectives of the international Zionist hierarchy in London after his death in 1904, and became a menace to world peace.

The entire space of these four pages of Common Sense would not provide space enough to publish more than a very small fraction of all the available evidence which is valid proof that the international Zionist hierarchy in London conspired and succeeded in railroading this country into two world wars, into the first world war on April 6, 1917 and into the second world war on December 8, 1941. Commencing in June 1946, this author personally published entirely at his own expense, a series of full page advertisements in the six full size newspapers in New York City and in others in leading cities throughout the country. This author also circulated to individuals in this country and throughout the world, by first-class mail, millions of full-size facsimile reprints of each of those advertisements. Those full page newspaper advertisements contained the proof of the illegal and immoral objectives of the international Zionist hierarchy in London. That proof was the evidence collected by this author's research in this country and in Europe covering fifty years in this author's life dedicated to assembling it.

The newspapers publishing those full page advertisements were finally threatened by the Zionist hierarchy in this country. They were informed that their department store and other large advertisers would boycott their newspapers if they continued to publish this author's full page advertisements. A boycott of that extent for any moderate length of time would bankrupt any or all of those newspapers, and they knew it. With the surrender of The New York Daily News in October 1944 to a boycott threat still fresh in their minds, they surrendered and refused to publish any further advertisements submitted by this author, fearing the consequences.

The proofs of the illegal and immoral objectives of the international Zionist hierarchy in London, given worldwide circulation by this author, were never denied nor could they be disproved by the Zionist hierarchy in this country using the media of mass information controlled by them. Their only defense appeared to be their villification of Mr. McGinley, Common Sense and this author. The Zionist hierarchy in this country has no doubt learned that every knock is a boost and so they

substituted for their smear-campaigns a conspiracy of silence. This author however did not diminish his labor of love. Today leaders of governments and others of importance throughout the world, in all walks of life, supply daily proof by their words and by their deeds that through this author's efforts they understand the motivation of the international Zionist hierarchy in London for attempting to conceal from the world their responsibility for railroading this country into two world wars. They can no longer be fooled.

In recent years the Zionist hierarchy in this country adopted a new approach towards Christians because their previous strategy failed abysmally. The suspicion lurked in the minds of Christians in this country that the international Zionist hierarchy in London succeeded in railroading this country into two world wars. Christians in this country are being flooded from all sides with spiritual slops as their new strategy in their attempt to regain the sympathy and support of Christians in this country for their illegal and immoral objectives. The Zionist hierarchy in this country is attempting to forge their spiritual slops into a secret weapon for their conquest of Christian sympathy and support.

At this point in this article, this author believes it is of major importance to briefly review here the historic background of how and why this country was railroaded into two world wars. Without this knowledge it is impossible to understand the force of circumstances which resulted in this country's participation in two world wars. This country was railroaded into World War I on April 6, 1917, to rescue Great Britain from defeat in a war which broke out in Europe in August 1914. Unless Christians in this country understand how and why Great Britain planned, promoted and provoked World War I in Europe in 1914 they will remain in the dark why this country was railroaded into the war in Europe on April 6, 1917. There was never any need for this country to become embroiled in the first world war. It was a mistake for this country to allow itself to be railroaded into the first world war. That mistake is sure to be repeated if the reason for making the mistake is not understood. If this country understood why World War I was fought, this country would never have allowed itself to be railroaded into that war. The mistake that this country was railroaded into World War I is the reason World War II was fought and the only reason why this country was railroaded into that war.

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)



## Zionists Bemuse Christians

(Continued from Page 1, Column 3)

The best concealed secret of the 20th century is the reason Great Britain planned, promoted and provoked World War I. Christians in this country must show patience and follow the ramifications of this complex explanation. Unless the ramifications of that deeply buried secret are understood, the Christians of this country can look forward to being railroaded into the next world war, which truly does not appear to be far away today. The history of World War I begins with the friendship between the Kaiser of Germany and the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire. That friendship had begun in the year 1877. In 1877 the Ottoman Empire suffered a crushing defeat in their war with Russia. After that crushing defeat, the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire entered into an arrangement with the Kaiser of Germany for the reorganization of the armed forces of the Ottoman Empire. The Sultan of the Ottoman Empire was to send his officers to the best military academies in Germany to complete their training, and the Kaiser was to send military missions to Turkey to train the armed forces of the Ottoman Empire in the field. That arrangement was continued without interruption from 1877 to 1914. The Kaiser also supplied the Ottoman Empire's armed forces with the finest German military equipment of every description, then the best in all the world.

The defeat of France by Germany in the Franco-Prussian war of 1870 established Germany's reputation in Europe as the greatest military power. The defeat of France by Germany influenced the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire to select Germany to reorganize the armed forces of the Ottoman Empire. In 1870, Germany was still looked upon by the European powers as the "infant nation" of Europe. At the time of the French Revolution in 1793, the area which was finally merged into the German Empire of 1870 under a Kaiser was composed of 300 independent sovereign German states. By 1870, these 300 independent sovereign states had become the German Empire. The reputation of Germany under the Kaiser was enhanced as a military power after Germany's crushing defeat of France in 1870 in the Franco-Prussian war, in which Germany acquired France's provinces of Alsace and Lorraine, and was paid a large indemnity in gold.

The arrival of the industrial age in Europe in the middle of the 19th century produced a great change in European countries. Their agricultural economies were transformed into industrial economies. After the discovery of the western hemisphere in 1492 by Columbus, the world was quickly colonized by European countries on the Atlantic seaboard with ships. Among the leading countries on the Atlantic seaboard with ships were Great Britain, Spain, Portugal, France, Holland and Belgium. Germany was bottled up in the interior of Europe. Germany did not have ships with which to colonize the world. With the arrival of the industrial age, there was very little left of the world that was not already colonized by European powers but in the period from the discovery of the western hemisphere by Columbus in 1492 to 1870 Germany did not exist. The 300 or more independent and sovereign German states, which were consolidated into the German Empire in 1870 were primarily agricultural. With the advent of the industrial era in Europe and the creation of the German Empire, the world was already well colonized, but Germany had no colonies.

With the development of the industrial age in Europe, Germany soon became a great industrial country. Germany was compelled to purchase all needed natural resources from other European countries. The European countries sold their natural resources of their colonies to Germany at most exorbitant prices. Germany was compelled to pay these high prices. The European colonial countries sold the natural resources of their colonies at high prices although the cost to produce them was very

small by comparison. The European colonial countries earned their great wealth from the sale of natural resources to the industrial countries which did not have colonies. Germany learned that having no colonies was a big handicap in competition with the European powers which had colonies with copper, lead, nickel, tin, etc.

As the industrial economies of European countries grew, their populations shifted from farming areas to the cities where they worked in factories. With the consequent decline in the production of food, Germany was compelled to import food for their industrial population. Germany was compelled to import food to feed two-thirds of Germany's highly industrialized population. Germany was compelled to export manufactured products in order to obtain foreign exchange with which to pay for food purchased abroad. This necessity developed Germany into a very efficient producer of industrial products. Germany's efficiency enabled Germany to undersell manufactured products in competition with the European colonial powers from whom Germany purchased natural resources.

Germany was faced with another problem. Great Britain was in a position to blockade Germany's access to the Atlantic. Great Britain's warships could blockade Germany so that Germany could neither import raw materials nor export manufactured products. Great Britain could seal Germany's doom by blockading Germany's access to the Atlantic. Great Britain's naval power provided Great Britain with a political and economic advantage whenever Great Britain desired to enforce it. German efficiency was making Germany a threat to Great Britain's supremacy as the industrial leader. But Great Britain had nothing to worry about as long as Great Britain could bottle up Germany by a naval blockade. Germany had no other access to the natural resources and markets of the world. Germany could be starved into submission to Great Britain's terms if differences developed between them. Independent access by Germany to the resources and markets of the world was a question of life or death to Germany. There was no solution to Germany's problem as long as Germany had no independent access to the natural resources and markets of the world.

As long as Germany's existence rested in Great Britain's hands, there was nothing Germany could do. There appeared to be no answer. The Kaiser realized the necessity of finding an answer to Germany's problem, and he did find one. The Kaiser asked his close friend and ally, the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire, to grant Germany a concession to construct a railroad across the Ottoman Empire from Constantinople to Basra, an important shipping port on the Persian Gulf which opened onto the Indian Ocean. Access to the Indian Ocean meant access to the resources and markets of India, Asia, Indonesia, Africa's east coast, and Australia. Germany already had a railroad from Hamburg on the North Sea to Constantinople. The concession the Kaiser sought would provide Germany with an all-rail overland route from Hamburg across Europe and the Ottoman Empire. The construction of this railway would solve Germany's vital economic and political problem. That proposed railway became known to history as the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway. The construction of that railway provided Germany with access to the Far East in eight days. By contrast, it required Great Britain from thirty to sixty days to reach India by sea. The construction of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway would place Germany in competition with Great Britain for the natural resources and markets of all the Far East and the east coast of Africa, both of the greatest political importance to Great Britain.

Between 1883 and 1903, Great Britain used every trick in the diplomatic game to prevent Germany from obtaining that concession from the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire. To complicate the matter, Great Britain influenced France and Russia to

try to obtain the same concession, only to make it more difficult for Germany to negotiate for the concession. The friendship between the Kaiser and the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire prevailed and Germany was awarded the concession in 1903. Great Britain was disappointed but did not give up. Great Britain immediately offered Germany \$100,000,000.00 to buy a one-half interest in their concession. Great Britain impressed on Germany the \$100,000,000.00 would cover the total cost of construction of the project, so that Germany's one-half of the concession would cost Germany nothing. Germany declined Great Britain's offer, suspicious of Great Britain's motive,

Germany was then actually a rather poor country, while Great Britain was then the world's richest and most powerful country. Germany decided to build the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway alone and to build it in sections as Germany's finances would permit. Great Britain soon became convinced that Germany was determined to build that railway alone. Great Britain then prepared a plan to prevent Germany from ever completing the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway. Without giving it any further consideration, Great Britain decided upon a war against Germany, a modern war to destroy Germany so that the construction of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway by Germany would forever become impossible. The international students of the history of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway concession all agree that Great Britain then planned, promoted and provoked World War I against Germany, to prevent the construction of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway. There are in the leading libraries copies of thesis papers which greatest historians wrote for their Ph.D. degrees. They are the most comprehensive documents available on this subject. There are also books in many languages in libraries in this country which provide compelling proof of Great Britain's motives.

The most authentic and comprehensive information on this subject will be found in an old book called "Turkey, The Great Powers and the Bagdad Railway" written by Professor Edward Mead Earle, Ph.D., a Professor of History at Columbia University. The book was published by The Macmillan Company of New York in 1923 but it is very difficult to find one anywhere today. The copies of this book were removed for obvious reasons from the libraries which had copies. This author would very much like to locate a copy of this book for himself. If any reader can locate a copy, this author will appreciate it if the reader will make the copy available to this author to purchase if agreeable after the reader has read the book. Professor Earle had the assistance when writing this book of many persons who were intimately associated with the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway, which enabled Professor Earle to examine records and documents never before available to historians. Professor Earle consulted Dr. Arthur von Gwinner, of the Deutsche Bank and President of the Bagdad Railway Co.; Dr. Karl Helfferich, formerly Imperial Minister of Finance, a former managing director of the Deutsche Bank and a member of the Reichstag in 1923; Sir Henry Babington Smith, associate of Sir Ernest Cassel, a director of the Bank of England and president of the National Bank of Turkey; Djavid Bey, Ottoman Minister of Finance, an economic expert at the first Lausanne Conference; and Mr. Ernest Rehnitz, a banker of Paris and London who was himself a competitor in 1898 for the Bagdad railway concession. The others who rendered valuable assistance to Professor Earle in the collection and preparation of his book were men of equal repute. The explanatory notes in Professor Earle's book are most comprehensive and remain unequalled anywhere on this subject.

Immediately upon Germany's refusal to sell a one-half interest in the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway to Great Britain, Great Britain pocketed her pride and approached Great Britain's historic enemy across the English Channel, the Republic of France, with a proposal. Great Britain proposed that

(Continued on Page 3, Column 1)



## Zionists Bemuse Christians

(Continued from Page 2, Column 3)

France enter into a "mutual defense treaty" with Great Britain against Germany. Great Britain called to France's attention the great strides in Germany's military power since France's defeat by Germany in the Franco-Prussian war of 1870, and also pointed out the spectacular growth of Germany's expanding industries. France became very interested when Great Britain suggested that in any future war with Germany, with Great Britain as an ally under a "mutual defense treaty," that France would be able to recover Alsace and Lorraine, the two French provinces acquired by Germany from France in the Franco-Prussian war. In 1903, France secretly entered into that "mutual defense treaty" with Great Britain. It did not remain a secret treaty for long. Germany soon came into possession of all the terms of that secret "mutual defense treaty" and saw the handwriting on the wall of what was in store for Germany in the not too distant future.

After signing the "mutual defense treaty" with France, Great Britain approached Russia with the same proposal. Great Britain did not have any difficulty with Russia. Great Britain knew exactly what to offer Russia. Great Britain offered Russia Constantinople as a "warm water seaport." Russia did not then have any "warm water seaport," which had been a big handicap to Russia in many ways. For many centuries the Czars of Russia had dreamed of a "warm water seaport" and in 1877 had fought a war to wrest Constantinople away from the Ottoman Empire but failed to win Constantinople although they won the war. In the war of 1877 against the Ottoman Empire, with Great Britain as her ally, Russia won the war. But after the war was won by Russia, Great Britain prevented Russia from obtaining Constantinople. Great Britain did not want Russia to become a Mediterranean power. Great Britain knew from experience how badly Russia wanted Constantinople as Russia's "warm water seaport."

Great Britain only agreed to give Russia Constantinople as a "warm water seaport" if Russia would also sign a "mutual defense treaty" against Germany. Great Britain explained that the Ottoman Empire was Germany's ally and that when Germany was defeated, the Ottoman Empire would be dismembered as Germany's ally in that war, and Russia would obtain Constantinople as her spoils of war. In 1907, Great Britain and Russia signed their "mutual defense treaty." Great Britain had now completed her "ring of steel" around Germany. Great Britain then prepared for the next step, which could not be delayed. Great Britain was pressed for time, Germany was rapidly constructing sections of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway as rapidly as German finances would permit. Germany must be prevented from completing the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway.

In 1907 Great Britain, France and Russia began rearming furiously. They were surely rearming only against Germany, the three members of the Triple Entente, the "ring of steel" around Germany. Germany then also rearmed furiously as a matter of self-defense. Great Britain created two "incidents" in Africa between 1907 and 1911 in an attempt to involve Germany in a war, but Germany ignored both incidents. Germany was not prepared to fight Great Britain, France and Russia all at the same time. Germany knew the time was not far in the future when war against the "ring of steel" must be fought. In the meantime, France and Russia were keeping troops on Germany's borders, and Germany was keeping troops on the borders of France and Russia. Great Britain, France and Russia were searching for an "incident" that could justify the outbreak of war. That "incident" did happen in July 1914. Allegedly either an intoxicated Russian General ordered a small detachment of Russian soldiers to cross the border into Germany, or a small detachment of intoxicated German soldiers crossed the border into Russia. But if true, certainly neither incident was of sufficient importance to plunge all Europe into war. But the inevi-

table war could not be delayed much longer. It has always been possible to create an "incident" when an "incident" was needed.

As a happenstance during the summer of 1914, a Serb assassinated the Crown Prince of Austria-Hungary at Sarajevo. Austria-Hungary demanded a letter of apology from Serbia. The world was then given to believe that the assassination of the Crown Prince was responsible for the outbreak of World War I. That is untrue. Serbia had agreed to give Austria-Hungary the letter of apology that was demanded. There was only one paragraph yet to be agreed upon in a letter of ten paragraphs. Great Britain was examining everything taking place in Europe seeking the excuse to declare war. But the assassination of the Crown Prince of Austria-Hungary did not meet that requirement. That assassination was a happenstance at the time of the "incident" on the border between Russia and Germany which was magnified out of all proportion to its importance to justify the outbreak of war.

Three irreversible historic truths are, World War I was fought by Great Britain to prevent the construction by Germany of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway, by France to take back Alsace-Lorraine from Germany and by Russia to acquire Constantinople as a "warm water seaport" in the Mediterranean. It is inconceivable that anyone in his right mind believes that Germany planned, promoted and provoked a war against Great Britain, France and Russia simultaneously for a "place in the sun," whatever that suggested. This becomes more certain each day as researchers discover evidence that proves Great Britain planned, promoted and provoked a war against Germany to prevent the completion of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway by Germany.

When the world was plunged into war over that "incident" on the border between Germany and Russia, Great Britain calmly reassured the world that "the boys will be out of the trenches and back home before Christmas." Great Britain must have then really believed that the Triple Entente, "the ring of steel" around Germany, could compel Germany to surrender in less than six months. But Great Britain did not reckon with Germany's submarines. In 1915 and 1916, Germany was sinking convoys in the Atlantic as easily as shooting fish in a barrel. The Lusitania was sunk on May 7, 1915, rushing ammunition to Great Britain, two years before this country entered the war. Germany had warned possible passengers not to sail on the Lusitania that trip because it would be sunk when it entered the English Channel. Germany placed full-page advertisements in New York and Washington newspapers asking passengers not to sail on the Lusitania and explaining why.

After fighting for two years, Great Britain was facing a crisis. Great Britain had less than a two week supply of food for the entire nation. The British soldiers were sitting in the trenches in France without ammunition. The French army had mutinied. France had lost 700,000 of the flower of French youth in the first year of the war. They rushed to volunteer to fight when war was declared. They died in the Somme and Meuse campaigns. The defeated Russian armed forces were retreating so fast the German troops in pursuit could not maintain contact with them. Without allies able or willing to fight, without food or ammunition, Great Britain was faced with a crisis.

In October 1916, when everything looked blackest for Great Britain, Germany made a peace-offer to Great Britain. Germany offered to recognize the "territorial status" and the "political status" of the belligerents on both sides as they were at the outbreak of war in August 1914. Although Germany occupied western Europe, Germany demanded no reparations and no indemnity from Great Britain or from Great Britain's allies. Germany wanted the war to end as quickly as possible to proceed with the construction of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway.

Great Britain faced the prospect of surrendering to Germany in two to three weeks if the fighting continued, or at the most in

six to eight weeks. The British War Cabinet was aware of the situation. The British War Cabinet was giving serious consideration to accepting Germany's peace offer. The British War Cabinet realized that acceptance of Germany's peace offer would mean giving Great Britain's implicit and explicit official recognition to Germany's 1903 concession from the Ottoman Empire. The British War Cabinet was aware Germany's peace offer provided for the recognition of the "political status" and the "territorial status" of belligerents on both sides at the outbreak of war in August 1914. Great Britain would be giving official recognition to the very thing that Great Britain planned, promoted and provoked the war to prevent, not a pleasant prospect.

Great Britain had another problem to solve. In the event that Great Britain declined Germany's peace offer, Great Britain would be forced to continue the war facing certain defeat and surrender to Germany. In that event Germany could proceed with the construction of the Berlin-to-Bagdad railway without any objection or interference by Great Britain. Great Britain was on the horns of a dilemma. It was six of one and a half-dozen of the other.

The problem was solved when Sir Mark Sykes was informed by James Malcom on behalf of the international Zionist hierarchy in London that they would use their influence to railroad this country into the war as Great Britain's ally only providing that Great Britain would turn Palestine over to "the Zionists of the world" after the war for their sovereign theocracy. The British War Cabinet was certain that Great Britain could still defeat Germany in 1916 if this country would come into the war in Europe as Great Britain's ally. The British War Cabinet forthwith accepted their proposal and declined Germany's most magnanimous peace offer.

While the British War Cabinet was wrestling with Germany's peace offer, the international Zionist hierarchy in London learned of the terms of the German peace offer. They realized they had to work fast. If Great Britain accepted Germany's peace offer, the international Zionist hierarchy in London could never acquire Palestine. They were aware that Germany's peace offer provided for the recognition of the "territorial status" of the belligerents on both sides, at the outbreak of the war in August 1914. That provision of Germany's peace offer would be Great Britain's official recognition of permanent possession of Palestine by the Ottoman Empire. The international Zionist hierarchy in London had failed on several previous attempts to obtain Palestine by purchase from the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire. Their offers were rejected by the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire who claimed his religious teaching would not permit him to sell something which he did not own. If a peace treaty between Germany and Great Britain provided for the continued possession of Palestine by the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire, there was little hope that the international Zionist hierarchy in London could ever acquire Palestine for their sovereign theocracy. The Kaiser had on several occasions intervened in person asking the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire, the Kaiser's good friend and ally, to relinquish sovereignty over Palestine in favor of the international Zionist hierarchy in London. The answers were always the same, the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire could not dispose of something that was not his to negotiate with them, again explaining his Moslem religious training forbade him.

The international Zionist hierarchy in London then put on their thinking caps. They knew they had to work fast. They were certain that Great Britain was compelled by the force of circumstances to accept Germany's peace offer. They reasoned if Germany could be defeated by Great Britain that the Ottoman Empire would be dismembered and they could ask Great Britain for Palestine as their remuneration for railroading this country into the war as Great Britain's ally. They were certain Great Britain could still defeat Germany in

(Continued on Page 4, Column 1)



# Zionists Bemuse Christians

(Continued from Page 3, Column 1)

1916 if this country could be railroaded into war as Great Britain's ally. The international Zionist hierarchy in London authorized James Malcolm, a co-religionist of Persian ancestry and a dedicated Zionist zealot, to make that offer to the British War Cabinet on their behalf, and James Malcom did. The British War Cabinet accepted their offer. Germany's peace offer was rejected.

Fortunately for the world, James A. Malcolm wrote a legal-size twelve page "confession" of "Zionist aspirations for self-emanicipation in Palestine." The original of that document is in the British Museum in London, numbered Cup. 1247 c. 28. An official duplicate which bears the seal of British Museum upon it, is before this author as this is being written. There are also official reproductions of Mr. Malcolm's "confession" in the library of Harvard University and the Library of Congress in Washington. In those twelve legal size pages, Mr. Malcolm "confesses" the story of how he negotiated the conspiracy to railroad this country into the war in Europe. Mr. Malcolm's "confession" bears the title Mr. Malcolm himself composed which reads, "Origins of the Balfour Declaration. Dr. Weizmann's contribution." There should be a reprint of that document in the hands of every Christian in this country. That knowledge in the possession of Christians in this country can prevent this country being railroaded for the third time into a world war.

At a meeting on December 25, 1916, at the Hotel Savoy in New York City, the Zionist hierarchy in this country met and expressed their consent and confirmed the terms of the secret 1916 London Agreement between the British War Office and the international Zionist hierarchy in London. Prime Minister Lloyd George was represented at that meeting by the Hon. Mr. Josiah Wedgewood, M.P., a Member of Parliament at that time. Lord Asquith had resigned as Prime Minister on December 5, 1916 and was succeeded by Mr. Lloyd George as Prime Minister on December 7, 1916. Mr. Lloyd George was a stalwart Zionist zealot from birth of the "Zionist movement." Whether Mr. Lloyd George was a spiritual hypocrite or a political patriot nobody knows. However, Mr. Lloyd George favored the program of the international Zionist hierarchy in London for creating a sovereign theocracy in Palestine. Mr. Lloyd George regarded more favorably than Lord Asquith, Zionist aspirations in the Middle East. Lord Asquith was forced to resign as Prime Minister to make way for Mr. Lloyd George.

As soon as Mr. Lloyd George was installed as Prime Minister of Great Britain, Mr. Wedgewood was rushed to this country by him bringing with him the documented evidence to prove to the Zionist hierarchy in this country that Great Britain had actually promised to turn Palestine over to "the Zionists of the world" after the war for their sovereign theocracy. A few of the Zionist hierarchy in this country expressed doubt that Great Britain had actually promised Palestine to "Zionists of the world" as the consideration for railroading this country into the war in Europe as Great Britain's ally. The evidence Mr. Wedgewood presented convinced those who doubted that fact. Mr. Wedgewood then delivered the documents to Col. Edward M. House to submit to President Woodrow Wilson. President Wilson had been blackmailed into promising Justice Brandeis of the United States Supreme Court that President Wilson would support in this country the program of the international Zionist hierarchy in Europe for Palestine. This author was privy to the implementation of that agreement by this country, of that secret 1916 London Agreement to railroad this country into the war in Europe as Great Britain's ally, but was unsuccessful in opposing it due to Zionist pressure on President Wilson.

In December 1916, the war in Europe was going from bad to worse for Great Britain. Prime Minister Lloyd George was doing everything in his power to implement

the secret 1916 London Agreement between the British War Office and the international Zionist hierarchy in London. Failure to railroad this country into the war in Europe as Great Britain's ally meant Great Britain's defeat by Germany in that war. Germany was making it very difficult for this country to declare war against Germany. Germany knew the terms of the secret 1916 London Agreement and was leaning backwards to respect the letter and spirit of international law and the 1908 Hague Convention for the conduct of civilized warfare. Germany knew that the entry of this country into the war in Europe as Great Britain's ally would transform Germany's overwhelming victory over Great Britain, France and Russia into Germany's ignominious defeat, and it turned out that way. In that war no one was the victor except the international Zionist hierarchy in London, who obtained the promise of Palestine for their sovereign theocracy.

Desperate to find a justification for declaring war against Germany, this country resorted to the "pretext" of the alleged sinking of the Sussex in the English Channel by a German submarine, with the loss of lives of citizens of this country. The Sussex was a ferry plying between Dover and Calais. Leading Members of Parliament in London declared the alleged sinking of the Sussex was President Wilson's "pretext" for entering the war in Europe as Great Britain's ally. They were surely in a position to know because some time after the declaration of war by this country against Germany, the Sussex was found. The Sussex had neither been sunk nor was there any loss of lives of citizens of this country. This only goes to prove that when an "incident" cannot be created, an "incident" can be invented to serve the same purpose.

The steady diet of spiritual slops fed to Christians in this country by the Zionist hierarchy was not a very difficult task for them to perform under the circumstances. The Zionist hierarchy in this country exercises a water-tight and air-tight censorship over the topics they will allow the media for mass-information to freely discuss. The Zionist hierarchy in this country likewise exercises the same censorship over what the clergy of Christian denominations may or may not publicly preach, publish or broadcast on these topics. The Zionist hierarchy and the Christian clergy circulate these untruths, half-truths and distortions of the truth fully conscious of the fact that they are deceiving Christians in this country. Their helpless Christian victims have nowhere else to turn to learn the truth on these topics. They cannot take the time to do research. Their other responsibilities will hardly afford them the time if they were so disposed. The topics are too numerous. The following are an assortment of the spiritual slops fed to unsuspecting Christians. Space is too limited to more than make mere mention of the topics: (1) Jesus was a "Jew," (2) Jesus was "born of a Jewish family," (3) Jesus was a "rabbi" in the present sense of the word, (4) Jesus conducted religious services in synagogues, (5) "Jews" only are "the seed of Abraham," (6) "Jews" only are "God's chosen people," (7) Palestine was the "ancestral homeland" of the Zionists, (8) "Jew" and "Zionist" are equivalents, (9) Zionism is a spiritual movement, (10) Zionists were "repatriated" to Palestine, (11) ancient "Israel" and the present "State of Israel," (12) the Passover evening meal and "The Last Supper," (13) the crossing of the Red Sea (14) Christianity is the offspring of "Judaism," (15) "Yiddish" mother-tongue, (16) "Judaism" and "Torath Yahve," (17) the "Torah" not holy, (18) kosher food, (19) "Star of David," (20) circumcision, (21) "Hebrew" people and language, (22) Passover, (23) Kol Nidre prayer, (24) "anti-Semitism," (25) inter-marriage, (26) "Judeo-Christian" are only a small number of all those which fall into the same category.

The Zionist hierarchy would destroy with their deadly smear campaigns any individual, Christian or otherwise, who dared to disclose the difference between the truth and what Christians are told about the topics enumerated above. It will prove very difficult for anyone to convince Christians that

Richard Cardinal Cushing would degrade and disgrace the Catholic Church by permitting himself to be used as a stooge for the Zionist hierarchy. On Friday, Oct. 17, 1969, the B'nai B'rith Messenger, the official newspaper of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, stated under a big headline NEWS FLASHES, the following:

"Catholics Must Understand Jews-Israel Relationship. Richard Cardinal Cushing, Archbishop of Boston, has urged Christians to develop a better understanding of the unique relationship between Israel and the Jewish people to prevent Christian-Jews dialogue from becoming 'strained and ultimately fruitless.' The Cardinal said that, 'Israel is not just a homeland for the persecuted and the oppressed, not just a refuge for people the world has abused. It is for the Jews the fulfillment of prophecy, the return to the Promised Land, the realization of the Divine Covenant, the answer to the prayers of generations of Chosen People.'"

Archbishop Cushing's impassioned plea for the Zionist invaders of Palestine may be excused if he expressed himself as he did to make his sister happy. Archbishop Cushing's sister is married to a so-called Jew who is probably also a Zionist. Archbishop Cushing is well informed on the Palestine question. Does Archbishop Cushing want his Christian followers to believe that the armed uprising in Palestine by a minority of transplanted eastern European Jews is "the fulfillment of prophecy"? Does Archbishop Cushing expect his followers to believe him when he refers to invaders as "the return to the Promised Land"? The Catholic Church is literally falling apart at the seams. Archbishop Cushing may think anything he wishes, if he keeps it in the family. Archbishop Cushing should have more intelligence than to use gasoline as a fire-extinguisher, but that is what he is doing. Would another Viet Nam in the Middle East make him happy? If so, he is on the right road to create one.

This country has spent \$445,000,000.00 for the USA share of the cost to feed 1,500,000 exiled Palestinians, at the rate of about 6¢ per day per person. That money spent to feed the poor in this country would have been better spent, if the "Chosen People," as Archbishop Cushing refers to Zionist murderers, had stayed in Europe, where the Vatican could have provided them with homes. It was the obligation of the Christians in Europe to square themselves with the "remnants" of the "Chosen People," and not scheme how to dump them onto the Moslems in the Middle East, who never were unkind to the "Chosen People" in their midst for over 2000 years. Archbishop Cushing should be above feeding his unsuspecting followers with spiritual slops.

## Facts Are Facts

By BENJAMIN H. FREEDMAN

In this small booklet will be found 64 pages of research on the spiritual slops which is now threatening to deal a death blow to this country. The contents will open your eyes and enable you to cope with the threat to this nation's existence of the spiritual slops which will result in our defeat in the coming world war.

Order at once, the supply is limited, \$1.00.

**Common Sense.**  
UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S. CANADA	U. S. CANADA FOREIGN
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00

Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year

BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED

20 copies	\$1.00
100 copies	4.00
500 copies	15.00
1000 copies	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.  
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083